

DR Trends & Issues in US: Moving Toward St. Fred's Dream

Benjamin F. Hobbs

bhobbs@jhu.edu

Theodore J. and Kay W. Schad Professor of Environmental Management Whiting School of Engineering The Johns Hopkins University Baltimore, MD USA

> Chair, California ISO Market Surveillance Committee Folsom, CA USA

2013 APEC Symposium on Demand Response, Nanjing 5-6 June 2013

Thanks to Beibei Wang (Southeast University), US NSF, Cheryl Hindes (BGE), Andy Satchwell (LBNL), John Goodin & Jeff McDonald (CAISO), Skipping Stone, Ahmad Faruqui (Brattle), Joe Bowring (Monitoring Analytics), & St. Fred (MIT)





.....designed to induce lower electricity use at times of high wholesale market prices or when system reliability is jeopardized.". (FERC, Assessment of Demand Response & Advanced Metering, Dec. 2012, p. 21)

iction

Rec

Is FERC its own worst enemy? (Bushnell, Hobbs, Wolak, Electricity J., Aug. 2009) Figure 3-1. Total reported potential peak reduction in the 2006 through 2012 Figure 2-1. Estimated advanced metering penetration nationwide reported in FERC Surveys FERC Surveys 2006, 2008, 2010, and 2012







Distribution of U.S. DR Programs (Numbers)





- r can nebe
- Motivator:
 - High capacity prices (PJM "Reliability Pricing Model")

8



Improving DR 1.0; Moving towards 2.0

California ISO: Utility operated demand response programs (2008-2012)

- Moving from:
 - day-ahead (1.0) to real-time (2.0)
- Low growth (state paid for meters, then prohibited having DR as default for residential consumers!)

